

LONG BEACH COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

2002 MEASURE E AND 2008 MEASURE E CONSTRUCTION BOND PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT *Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009* 310-477-0450

310-477-8424

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Long Beach Community College District (LBCCD or the District) has implemented controls covering the use of school Construction Bond funds that comply with 2002 Measure E and 2008 Measure E and Proposition 39 requirements. Our performance audit procedures found no discrepancies in the use of expenditures for approved Bond Fund purposes for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. For the year ended June 30, 2009, Bond Program expenditures were \$108,135,713.

OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE

Performance audit procedures evaluated the Long Beach Community College District Bond Program compliance, program performance controls, and efficiency. We analyzed the Construction Program controls st

- Relevant financial records, general ledger and transaction support
- Unified master plan
- Relevant policies, procedures, reports and key correspondence

Interviews and Observation

We interviewed key personnel responsible for implementing the Bond Program, including senior management and staff from the District and Bond Program Management Team responsible for overseeing the work associated with the Bond Program. The individuals interviewed are listed in Appendix A of this report.

Detailed Analysis – Compliance with Bond, State, Federal and Other Funding Source Requirements

We compared Bond Program activities and results to voter materials, Bonds, State and other funding source requirements. We reviewed Bond Program accounting records, contracts, purchase orders, invoices, payment records and other documents of current expenditures as needed to determine whether the funds were being used for Bond Program purposes. In addition, Bond Program expenditures were compared to the Unified master plan.

We performed a walkthrough of the Bond funds expenditure cycle to validate that internal controls over expenditures and use of the funds for the Bond Program were designed effectively.

We evaluated Bond Program financial records and expenditure cost support to verify that funds were used for approved Bond Program purposes and were in conformance with appropriate contracts. We judgmentally selected a sample of 65 payments from the time period July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2009. The total dollar amount sampled was \$60,187,866 out of total 2002 Measure E and 2008 Measure E expenditures of \$108,135,713 (55.7%). These expenditures were tested to determine if they had been made in conformance with Bond Program purposes, ballot language, the Bond project list approved by voters and Bond stipulations.

The results of our assessment are based upon our experience with other construction programs, knowledge of the District, staff interviews, and review of Construction Program documentation.

Follow-up on Previous Audit Recommendations

We interviewed District and Cordoba Corporation (Cordoba or BMT) personnel to determine whether open audit issues identified in the 2008 Bond Program performance audit had been addressed. Through inquiry and review of documents, we confirmed that all issues identified in past audits have been resolved.

Source	Issues Identified	Issues Resolved
Audit 2008	5	5
Audit 2007	3	3
Audit 2006	8	8
Audit 2005	21	21
Interim Audit 2004	13	13
Audit 2004	66	66
Total	<u>116</u>	<u>116</u>

LBCCD Audit Resolution Status

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The 2002 Measure E (Series A through D) school construction bond was approved by voters in 2002 to provide \$176 million in improvements to Long Beach Community College District facilities. The original bond was refinanced in 2005, yielding an additional \$5,502,159 in funds available, to total \$181 million for the original 2002 Measure E bond. In 2008, a second 2008 Measure E bond was approved by voters to provide an additional \$440 million in improvements to Long Beach Community College District facilities, yielding a combined total of \$621 million in bond funding for educational facilities construction. The 2002 Measure E and 2008 Measure E school construction bond funds are to be used for the renovation, repair and replacement of aging educational facilities. Bond programs of this size and complexity require appropriate financial processes and operational controls to ensure compliance, effectiveness, program cost, schedule, quality and efficiency goals are achieved. This performance audit addressed expenditures related to both 2002 Measure E and 2008 Measure E totalling \$621 million in bond funds.

Below is a summary of total 2002 Measure E and 2008 Measure E bond funds, expenditures to date and remaining funds. This summary of 2002 Measure E and 2008 Measure E expenditures is based on the District's books and records and is provided for information purposes only. These amounts are subject to and are pending receipt of the Bond Program comprehensive annual financial reports (CAFR) from the District's financial auditor, Vicenti, Lloyd & Stutzman LLP. This expenditure summary reflects audited financial totals provided by management.

In January 2003, the District Board of Trustees approved a contract award for program management services to Bovis Lend Lease. In February 2006, a new contract for program management services was issued to Cordoba, for the period through June 30, 2007, with three one-year options.

Total 2002 Measure E Bond Program Funds	\$181,000,000
Expenditures to Date:	
July 1, 2002 - June 30, 2003	(1,377,121)
July 1, 2003 - June 30, 2004	(4,495,282)
July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005	(16,039,089)
July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006	(23,262,129)
July 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007	(32,084,228)
July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008	(36,074,443)
July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009	(<u>62,033,685</u>)
Remaining Bond Funds as of July 2009	<u>\$ 5,634,023</u>

Total 2008 Measure E Bond Program Funds	\$440,000,000
Expenditures to Date:	
July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009	(<u>46,102,028</u>)
Remaining Bond Funds as of July 2009	<u>\$393,897,972</u>

APPENDIX A – INTERVIEWS PERFORMED

The following key personnel were interviewed: